

## REMARKS

In the parent application, examiner objected that the sectional line 7-7 was missing from Fig. 6. A proposed correction marked in red and a clean copy with the proposed correction are enclosed.

The title has been amended as suggested by the examiner in the parent.


The typographical error in the specification on page 4 has been amended as in the parent.

The objections to the claims, in the parent have been corrected as suggested by the examiner.

The 112 rejection of claim 2 has been amended as suggested by the examiner in the parent. The jacking is what causes the driving. Thus, the driving and jacking are concurrent. If the jacking does not drive the pile into the ground, then the pile is set and the leveling of the structure can commence. In claim 2 the additional step is to dig out a space for the first pile section and the jack because most foundations are the slab type, which are poured on the ground.

The examiner declined to enter amendments presented in a Rule 116 amendment, the present claims have been amended to include all of the amendments of the parent, including those of the Rule 116.

Respectfully Submitted,



Kenneth H. Johnson  
ATTORNEY OF RECORD  
Reg. No. 22,966  
P.O. Box 630708  
Houston, Texas 77263  
TEL: (713) 780-7047  
FAX: (713) 780 7671

